

110 Clinton School Road Lancaster, SC 29720

Grades PK-5 Elementary School

Enrollment 392 Students

 Principal
 Rachel Ray
 803-285-5395

 Superintendent
 Richard E. Moore
 803-286-6972

 Board Chair
 Robert Parker
 803-286-6972

2012 ANNUAL SCHOOL REPORT CARD

RATINGS OVER 5-YEAR PERIOD

YEAR	ABSOLUTE RATING	GROWTH RATING
2012	Below Average	Average
2011	Below Average	Average
2010	Below Average	Below Average
2009	At-Risk	Average
2008	At-Risk	At-Risk

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- At-Risk School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE VISION

By 2020 all students will graduate with the knowledge and skills necessary to compete successfully in the global economy, participate in a democratic society and contribute positively as members of families and communities.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.eoc.sc.gov

Percent of Student PASS Records Matched for Purpose of Computing Growth Rating


Percent of students tested in 2011-12 whose 2010-11 test scores were located

99.1%

Λ D	\circ		IENTARY SCHOOL:	с мити стиремт	C LIVE ALIDO
LAB	\sim	71.2 OF FIEW			

Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average	At-Risk		
2	10	83	47	19		

^{*} Ratings are calculated with data available by 11/07/2012.



^{*} Elementary schools with Students Like Ours are elementary schools with poverty indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for the school.

Definition of Critical Terms				
Exemplary	"Exemplary" means the student demonstrated exemplary performance in meeting the grade level standard.			
Met	"Met" means the student met the grade level standard.			
Not Met	"Not Met" means that the student did not meet the grade level standard.			

School Profile

SONOS PTONIO	Our School	Change from Last Year	Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Elementary School
Students (n=392)				
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	100.0%	No Change	100.0%	100.0%
Retention rate	1.1%	Down from 1.8%	1.3%	1.0%
Attendance rate	95.3%	Up from 95.2%	96.3%	96.6%
Served by gifted and talented program	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
With disabilities other than speech	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
Older than usual for grade	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent and/or criminal offenses	0.0%	No Change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n=29)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	65.5%	Up from 63.3%	62.5%	63.0%
Continuing contract teachers	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
Teachers returning from previous year	85.6%	Up from 83.2%	85.4%	88.7%
Teacher attendance rate	94.0%	Up from 92.3%	95.4%	95.1%
Average teacher salary*	\$42,304	Down 3.9%	\$45,018	\$47,210
Professional development days/teacher	25.0 days	Up from 14.7 days	9.7 days	10.5 days
School				
Principal's years at school	6.0	No Change	4.0	4.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	17.5 to 1	Up from 17.2 to 1	18.0 to 1	20.0 to 1
Prime instructional time	86.4%	Up from 82.9%	90.7%	90.5%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	No Change	Good	Good
SACS accreditation	Yes	No Change	Yes	Yes
Parents attending conferences	98.3%	Down from 100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Character development program	Excellent	No Change	Excellent	Excellent
Dollars spent per pupil**	\$7,678	Down 13.1%	\$8,384	\$7,247
Percent of expenditures for instruction**	68.1%	Down from 71.5%	66.1%	68.2%
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries**	66.3%	Down from 66.6%	62.9%	65.7%

^{*} Includes current year teachers contracted for 185 or more days.

^{**} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

Report of Principal and School Improvement Council

Clinton Elementary prides itself on fostering an environment that promotes the students' confidence in themselves as scholars and emphasizes their importance as viable members of the community. We are committed to providing every student with a quality education that meets the needs of each individual. We are dedicated to working cooperatively with parents and community members to provide the best education possible for our students.

Our initiative for the 2010-2011 school year was to continue to increase the amount of independent reading accomplished by our students. Through extensive, on-going professional development and by using a rigorous curriculum our school made gains in historical underachieving groups in all subject areas. Our teachers implemented innovative teaching strategies in the classroom that are closely aligned with the Common Core Standards to increase the achievement of our students in the area of reading and math.

The high rate of success manifested by our students has earned us local, state, and national recognition. Clinton Elementary has been recognized as one of the top fifteen schools in the US by the American Reading Company. Clinton was named as one of the top three finalists for the Dick and Tunky Riley WhatWorksSC Award for Excellence presented by the Riley Institute at Furman and South Carolina Future Minds, in conjunction with the South Carolina State Board of Education and the South Carolina Chamber of Commerce. The staff of Clinton was also recognized for their hard work and dedication to conducting professional learning communities. Learning Forward and Corwin Press announced Clinton Elementary as the winner of the Shirley Hord Learning Team Award during the annual summer conference in Indianapolis.

We are proud of the continuing accomplishments of our students and staff. These achievements are direct results of the dedication our staff, the hard work of the students, and the support of parents and community. Our responsibility for educating our children is to work together as a team – the school, parents, family members and students. We all need to make our best efforts individually and as a group to support our students, believe in their ability and potential, and create conditions for their success.

Rachel Ray, Principal Calita Taylor, SIC Chairperson

Evaluations by Teachers, Students and Parents						
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*			
Number of surveys returned	24	44	28			
Percent satisfied with learning environment	100.0%	97.7%	92.6%			
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	100.0%	95.5%	88.0%			
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	95.8%	93.2%	70.4%			

^{*} Only students at the highest elementary school grade level and their parents were included.

ESEA/Federal Accountability Rating System

In July 2012, the South Carolina Department of Education was granted a waiver from several accountability requirements of the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA). This waiver allowed SC to replace the former pass/fail system with one that utilizes more of the statewide assessments already in place and combine these subject area results with graduation rate (in high schools) to determine if each school met the target or made progress toward the target. This analysis results in a letter grade for the school rather than the pass/fail system of previous years. For a detailed review of the matrix for each school and districts that determined the letter grade, please use the following link: http://ed.sc.gov/data/esea/ or request this information from your child's district or school.

Overall Weighted Points Total	54.4	
Overall Grade Conversion	F	

Index Score	Grade	Description
90-100	Α	Performance substantially exceeds the state's expectations.
80-89.9	В	Performance exceeds the state's expectations.
70-79.9	С	Performance meets the state's expectations.
60-69.9	D	Performance does not meet the state's expectations.
Less than 60	F	Performance is substantially below the state's expectations

	chool
	ı
o	

Clinton Flemer	stary Cahaal	achael has	haan daaign	otad as a:

	Title I Reward School for Performance - among the highest performing Title I schools in a given year.
	Title I Reward School for Progress – one of the schools with substantial progress in student subgroups.
\checkmark	Title I Focus School – one of the schools with the highest average performance gap between subgroups.
	Title I Priority School – one of the 5% lowest performing Title I schools.
	Title I School – does not qualify as Reward, Focus or Priority School.
	Non-Title I School – therefore the designations above are not applicable.

Teacher Quality and Student Attendance					
	Our District	State			
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	8.2%	2.6%			
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	3.3%	5.1%			

	Our School	State Objective	Met State Objective
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	9.0%	0.0%	No
Student attendance rate	95.3%	94.0%*	Yes

^{*} Or greater than last year

Clinton Elementary School 11/07/12-2901015							
Performance By Group							
Subgroups	ELA Mean	Math Mean	Science Mean	Social Studies Mean	ELA % Tested	Math % Tested	
Grades 3-5							
All Students	627.4	632.9	597.5	606.1	100.0	100.0	
Male	617.0	622.5	596.1	600.8	100.0	100.0	
Female	643.5	649.2	599.8	614.9	100.0	100.0	
White	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
African American	626.8	633.0	597.5	606.3	100.0	100.0	
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Disabled	582.6	570.0	573.3	586.0	100.0	100.0	
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Subsidized meals	624.5	630.7	596.0	603.3	100.0	100.0	
Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)	630.0	630.0	630.0	630.0	95.0	95.0	

Silition Elementary School							
PASS Performance By Grade Level							
	Grade	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	% Met or Exemplary
			Englisl	h/Language A	irts		
	3	60	100	49.1	24.6	26.3	50.9
_	4	65	100	35.2	31.5	33.3	64.8
Ì	5	54	100	47.9	41.7	10.4	52.1
2011	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	3	58	100	42.6	29.6	27.8	57.4
2	4	55	100	51	38.8	10.2	49
2012	5	65	100	42.1	38.6	19.3	57.9
7	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
			M	lathematics			
	3	60	100	54.4	24.6	21.1	45.6
_	4	65	100	24.1	27.8	48.1	75.9
7	5	54	100	27.1	50	22.9	72.9
2011	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	3	58	100	48.1	27.8	24.1	51.9
2	4	55	100	40.8	30.6	28.6	59.2
2012	5 6	65	100	35.1	29.8	35.1	64.9
2		N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
				Science			
	3	30	100	71.4	25	3.6	28.6
_	4	65	100	40.7	48.1	11.1	59.3
2011		30	100	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	18.5
70	5 6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	3	30	100	55.6	37	N/A 7.4	44.4
2	4	55	100	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	49
2012		33	100	41.4	51.7	6.9	58.6
7(5 6 7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
		N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

PASS Performance By Grade Level									
	Grade	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	% Met or Exemplary		
Social Studies									
_	3 4	30 65	100 100	N/AV 33.3	N/AV 57.4	N/AV 9.3	41.4 66.7		
2011	5 6	24	100	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	33.3		
2		N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	3	28	100	70.4	25.9	3.7	29.6		
2	4	55	100	42.9	49	8.2	57.1		
2012	5	32	100	53.6	25	21.4	46.4		
2	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Writing									
	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
7	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
2011	5	54	100	39.6	37.5	22.9	60.4		
2(6 7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
		N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
2	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
2012	5	66	100	49.1	33.3	17.5	50.9		
2	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		